



# ASSOCIATION FOR CONSUMER RESEARCH

---

Labovitz School of Business & Economics, University of Minnesota Duluth, 11 E. Superior Street, Suite 210, Duluth, MN 55802

## **All Numbers Are Not Created Equal: Price Points, Price Processing and Price Rigidity**

Haipeng Chen, Texas A&M University, USA

Avichai Snir, Bar-Ilan University, Israel

Daniel Levy, Bar-Ilan University, Israel

Alex Gotler, Open University, Israel

Using multiple datasets, we find that consumers are less likely to recognize a larger price or a price increase that ends with 9, but more likely to recognize a price increase from a 9-ending to a non 9-ending. Retailers therefore set 9-ending prices more often after price increases than decreases.

### **[to cite]:**

Haipeng Chen, Avichai Snir, Daniel Levy, and Alex Gotler (2012) , "All Numbers Are Not Created Equal: Price Points, Price Processing and Price Rigidity", in NA - Advances in Consumer Research Volume 40, eds. Zeynep Gürhan-Canli, Cele Otnes, and Rui (Juliet) Zhu, Duluth, MN : Association for Consumer Research, Pages: 637-639.

### **[url]:**

<http://www.acrwebsite.org/volumes/1011626/volumes/v40/NA-40>

### **[copyright notice]:**

This work is copyrighted by The Association for Consumer Research. For permission to copy or use this work in whole or in part, please contact the Copyright Clearance Center at <http://www.copyright.com/>.

# All Numbers are Not Created Equal: Price Points, Price Processing and Price Rigidity

Haipeng (Allan) Chen, Texas A&M University, USA

Avichai Snir, Bar-Ilan University, Israel

Daniel Levy, Bar-Ilan University, ISRAEL, Emory University, USA, and Rimini Center for Economic Analysis, Italy

Alex Gotler, Open University, Israel

## EXTENDED ABSTRACT

One common type of price points is *9-ending prices*, also known as *psychological prices* (Kashyap 1995; Levy et al. 2011; Knotek 2010). In the current research, we use data from a lab experiment, a field study, and a large Midwestern US supermarket chain to study the rigidity of 9-ending prices. Our results demonstrate a hitherto undocumented asymmetry in rigidity associated with 9-ending prices, and provide a link between consumers' cognitive costs and pricing policies that have significant effects on demand and inflation.

## TESTABLE HYPOTHESES

Empirical evidence suggests that individuals usually process multi-digit numeric information, including numbers and prices, from left to right (Poltrock and Schwartz, 1984, Stiving and Winer 1997). The marketing literature also suggests that consumers often use 9-endings as a signal for low prices (Schindler 2001, 2006; Thomas and Morwitz 2005). We therefore expect that while consumers will process *numbers* digit by digit, they will use 9-endings as a signal when comparing *prices*. Since relying on 9-endings as a signal interferes with left-to-right processing, we predict that consumers will be less accurate in comparing prices and in judging price changes. We also predict that retailers are likely to respond strategically to these consumer perceptions in setting prices. Specifically, retailers will tend to set 9 ending prices after price increases because the consumers are less likely to recognize a price increase when the new price is 9-ending. Retailers are less likely, however, to set 9-ending prices after price decreases, because price decreases are often promoted by alternative signals of low prices, such as shelf signs and leaflets. Thus, we predict an asymmetry in the price rigidity of 9-ending prices, with 9-ending prices being less likely to increase than, but as likely to decrease as, non 9-ending prices.

## 3. DATA AND ANALYSIS

### 3.1. Evidence from a Laboratory Experiment

Participants compared 300 pairs of numbers or prices on lab computers. They were instructed to respond as quickly and as accurately as possible. In addition, they were told that 10 percent of them would be selected at random and paid according to their performance. The results suggest that 9-endings reduce participants' accuracy only for price comparisons but not for number comparisons. In addition, we find that 9-endings reduced accuracy only when the greater of the two prices ended with 9 but not when the smaller of the two prices ended with 9, consistent with our premise that consumers oftentimes mistaken a 9-ending price as being smaller.

### 3.2. Evidence from a Field Study

We recruited 365 shoppers at three supermarkets located in different cities in Israel. Consumers exiting the three supermarkets were approached, and only those who shopped in the same supermarket also in the previous week were given a questionnaire. The questionnaire was composed of a list of 52 goods in 12 categories. For each good, respondents were asked whether the good's price had increased, decreased or remained the same from the previous week to the current week.

Recall data suggest that 9-ending is associated with a higher probability that a consumer thought that a price had decreased, but does not affect the probability that a consumer thought that the price had increased. We also find that consumers are more likely to associate changes from 9-endings to non-9-ending prices with price increases, and associate changes from non 9-ending prices to 9-ending prices with price decreases.

Analysis of recall accuracy revealed that consumers are less likely to recognize price increases when the new price is 9-ending. At the same time, 9-ending prices do not increase the probability that the consumers correctly recognized a price decrease. This might be because many price decreases are sale prices that are promoted by shelf signs, leaflets, etc., regardless of whether the new prices are 9-ending or not.

### 3.3. Evidence from a Large U.S. Supermarket Chain

Finally, we use data on price changes in Dominick's Finer Food, a large Midwestern US supermarket chain, to test our predictions concerning retailer's pricing behaviors. We find that retailers are more likely to set 9-endings after price increases than after price decreases. Moreover, the likelihood that the prices will be 9-endings is even lower for sale prices than for regular price decreases, confirming our speculation that price decreases are oftentimes promoted by shelf signs, flyers, etc. rather than by the 9-ending. In addition, when the old price is 9-ending, the retailers are more likely to change it to another 9-ending price than when the old price is non 9-ending.

Addition analyses showed that the right-most digits were less likely to adjust if the previous prices ended with 9, more so following a price increase than following a price decrease; 9-ending prices are significantly less likely to increase than, but as likely to decrease as, non 9-ending prices; non 9-endings transitioned to 9-ending with higher probabilities for price increases than for price decreases; and 9-ending prices, when they did change, had a larger average magnitude of change than non 9-ending prices for increases but not for decreases. These results combined depict a clear pattern for an asymmetry in rigidity, with 9-ending prices being more rigid than non 9-ending prices upward but not downward.

## CONCLUSION

We use data from a lab experiment, a field study, and a large Midwestern US supermarket chain to study the rigidity of 9-ending prices. We find that consumers often interpret 9-endings as a signal for low prices and therefore, they are more likely to make mistakes in price comparisons if the greater prices end with 9, and are less accurate in recalling price increases from non 9-ending prices to 9-ending prices. Retailers respond to these consumer perceptions by setting prices at 9-endings more often after price increases than after price decreases.

Thus, it seems that 9-endings as an outcome of retailers' response to consumers' cognitive bias might have significant effects on pricing policies and consequently on market structure, demand and inflation (Basu, 1996, Kehoe and Midrigan, 2008, Knotek, 2010, Eichenbaum et al., 2011).

## REFERENCES

- Akelrof, George A. and Janet M. Yellen (1985), "Can Small Deviations from Rationality Make Significant Differences for Economic Equilibria?," *American Economic Review*, 75(4), 708–720.
- Anderson, Eric T. and Duncan I. Simester (2003), "Effects of \$9 Price-endings on Retail Sales: Evidence from Field Experiments," *Quantitative Marketing and Economics* 1(1), 93–110.
- Ball, L. and D. Romer (2003), "Inflation and the Informativeness of Prices," 35(2), 177–196.
- Barsky, R., et al. (2003), "What Can the Price Gap between Branded and Private Label Products Tell Us about Markups?" In R. Feenstra and M. Shapiro, Eds., *Scanner Data and Price Indexes* (Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press), pp. 165–225.
- Basu, K. (1997), "Why Are So Many Goods Priced to End Nine? And Why This Practice Hurts Producers," 54(1), 41–44.
- Basu, K. (2006), "Consumer Cognition and Pricing in the Nines in Oligopolistic Markets," 15(1), 125–141.
- Bergen, M., et al. (2008), "On the Inefficiency of Item Pricing Laws: Theory and Evidence," 51(2), 209–250.
- Bils, M. and P. Klenow (2004), "Some Evidence on the Importance of Sticky Prices," 112(5), 947–985.
- Bergen, Mark, Robert J. Kauffman and Dongwon Lee (2005), "Price Points and Price Rigidity on the Internet: A Massive Quasi Experimental Data Mining Approach," Working paper.
- Blinder, A., et al. (1998), *Asking about Prices* (NY, NY: Russell Sage Foundation).
- Carlton, D. (1986), "The Rigidity of Prices," 76, 637–658.
- Chen, H., et al. (2008), "Asymmetric Price Adjustment in the Small," 55(4), 728–737.
- Cecchetti, S. (1986), "The Frequency of Price Adjustment: A Study of the Newsstand Prices of Magazines," 31(3), 255–274.
- Chevalier, J., et al. (2003), "Why Don't Prices Rise during Peak Demand Periods? Evidence from Scanner Data," 93, 15–37.
- Danziger, L. (1999), "A Dynamic Economy with Costly Price Adjustments," 89(4), 878–901.
- Dutta, S., et al. (1999), "Menu Costs, Posted Prices, and Multiproduct Retailers," 31(4), 683–703.
- Dutta, S., et al. (2002), "Price Flexibility in Channels of Distribution: Evidence from Scanner Data," 26(11), 1845–1900.
- Eichenbaum, M., N. Jaimovich, and S. Rebelo (2011), "Reference Prices, Costs and Nominal Rigidities," 101, 234–262.
- Kahneman, D. and S. Frederick (2002), "Representativeness Revisited: Attribute Substitution in Intuitive Judgment," in Gilovich, T. et al. (Eds.), *Heuristics and Biases* (UK: Cambridge University Press), pp. 49–81.
- Kashyap, A. (1995), "Sticky Prices: New Evidence from Retail Catalogs," 110(1), 245–274.
- Kalayaram, G. and R. Winer (1995), "Empirical Generalization from Reference Price Research," 14(3), G161–G169.
- Kehoe, P. and V. Midrigan (2008), "Temporary Price Changes and the Real Effects of Monetary Policy," NBER WP No. 14392.
- Kehoe, P. and V. Midrigan (2010), "Prices Are Sticky After All," RSR 413, Fed of Mpls.
- Klenow, P. and O. Kryvtsov (2008), "State-Dependent vs. Time-Dependent Pricing: Does it Matter for Recent US Inflation?" 123, 863–904.
- Klenow, P. and B. Malin (2011), "Microeconomic Evidence on Price-Setting," in B. Friedman and M. Woodford (Eds.), *Handbook of Monetary Economics*, 3A-B (NY, NY: North Holland), pp. 231–284.
- Knotek, E. (2010), "The Roles of Menu Costs and Nine Endings in Price Rigidity," RWP No. 10-18, Fed of Kansas City.
- Knotek, E. (2011), "Convenient Prices and Price Rigidity: Cross-Section Evidence," , forthcoming.
- Konieczny, J. (1993), "Variable Price Adjustment Costs," 31, 488–98.
- Lach, S. and D. Tsiddon (1992), "The Behavior of Prices and Inflation: An Empirical Evidence of Disaggregate Price Data," 100, 349–89.
- Lach, S. and D. Tsiddon (1996), "Staggering and Synchronization in Price Setting: Evidence from Multiproduct Firms," 86, 1175–1196.
- Lachman, R., et al. (1979), *Cognitive Psychology and Information Processing: An Introduction* (Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates).
- Levy, D., et al. (1997), "The Magnitude of Menu Costs: Direct Evidence from Large U.S. Supermarket Chains," 112, 791–825.
- Levy, D., et al. (2010), "Holiday Price Rigidity and Cost of Price Adjustment," 77, 172–198.
- Levy, D., et al. (2011), "Price Points and Price Rigidity," (forthcoming).
- Levy, D. and A. Young (2004), "The Real Thing: Nominal Price Rigidity of the Nickel Coke, 1886–1959," 36, 765–799.
- Macé, Sandrine (2011), "The impact and Determinants of 9-Ending Pricing in Grocery Stores," *Journal of Retailing*.
- Mankiw, N.G. (1985), "Small Menu Costs and Large Business Cycles: a Macroeconomic Model of Monopoly," 100, 529–539.
- Mazumdar, T. and P. Papatla (2000), "An Investigation of Reference Price Segments," 38, 246–258.
- Midrigan, V. (2011), "Menu Costs, Multi-Product Firms, and Aggregate Fluctuations," 79, 1139–1180.
- Nakamura, E. and J. Steinsson (2008), "Five Facts about Prices: A Reevaluation of Menu Cost Models," 123(4), 1415–1464.
- Nakamura, E. and J. Steinsson (2009), "Price Setting in Forward-Looking Customer Markets," manuscript.
- Nevo, A. (2002), "Measuring Market Power in the Ready to Eat Cereal Industry," 69, 307–342.
- Peltzman, S. (2000), "Prices Rise faster than They Fall," 108, 466–502.
- Poltronek, S. and D. Schwartz (1984), "Comparative Judgments of Multidigit Numbers," 10, 32–45.
- Ray, S., et al. (2006), "Asymmetric Wholesale Pricing: Theory and Evidence," 25, 131–54.
- Rotemberg, J. (1982), "Sticky Prices in the United States," 90, 1187–1211.
- Rotemberg, J. (1987), "The New Keynesian Microfoundations," , 69–104.
- Rotemberg, J. (2005), "Customer Anger at Price Increases, Changes in the Frequency of Price Adjustment, and Monetary Policy" , 829–52.
- Rotemberg, J. (2010), "Altruistic Dynamic Pricing with Customer Regret," 112(4), 646–672.
- Ruffle, B. and Z. Shtudiner (2006), "99: Are Retailers Best Responding to Rational Consumers?" 27, 459–75.
- Schindler, R. (1984), "Consumers Recognition of Increases in Odd and Even Prices," 11, 459–462.

- Schindler, R. (2001), "Relative Price Level of 99-Ending Prices: Image Vs. Reality," 12(3), 239–247.
- Schindler, R. (2006), "The 99 Price-ending as a Signal of a Low-Price Appeal," 82(1), 71–77.
- Schindler, R. and R. Chandrashekar (2004), "Influence of Price-endings on Price Recall: a by-Digit Analysis," 13, 514–524.
- Schindler, R. and P. Kirby (1997), "Patterns of Right Most Digits Used in Advertised Prices," 24, 192–200.
- Snir, A. and D. Levy (2011), "Shrinking Goods and Sticky Prices: Theory and Evidence," WP No. 11-04, Emory University.
- Stiving, M. (2000), "Price-endings When Prices Signal Quality," 46(12), 1617–1629.
- Stiving, M. and R. Winer (1997), "An Empirical Analysis of Price-endings with Scanner Data," 24(1), 57–67.
- Thomas, M. and V. Morwitz (2005), "Penny Wise and Pound Foolish: The Left-Digit Effect in Price Cognition," 32, 54–64.
- Warner, E. and R. Barsky (1995), "The Timing and Magnitude of Retail Store Markdowns," 110(2), 321–352.
- Willis, J. (2003), "Implications of Structural Changes in the US Economy for Pricing Behavior and Inflation Dynamics," (1<sup>st</sup> Q.), 5–26.
- Wolman, A (2007), "The Frequency and Costs of Individual Price Adjustment: A Survey," 28(6), 531–552.
- Zbaracki, M., et al. (2004), "Managerial and Customer Costs of Price Adjustment: Direct Evidence from Industrial Markets," 86, 514–33.